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**Abstract.** In years 2002-2004 eight pairs of experimental surfaces, one from the 3rd and one from the 4th age class, were established in pure pine stands in the Lubin Forest District. In each of these sample plots crown defoliation, the condition of the assimilation apparatus, height increment and shoot vitality of 25 trees of the main stand were assessed. Differences between mean defoliations were compared using for this purpose the standard error of the difference of two means (for $P = 0.95$). The performed analysis was carried out for each of the experimental surfaces and for the given entire age class during three experimental periods (i.e. years 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2002-2004) as well as for pairs of surfaces and age classes in the consecutive three years of observations (2002, 2003 and 2004).
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**INTRODUCTION**

In 1990s, following far-reaching political, social and economical transformations in Poland, a significant reduction in the level of industrial emissions took place which exerted a noticeable effect on the improvement in forest tree and stand conditions. However, the problem of the influence of industry on forests still exists and requires continuous monitoring.

The Lubin Forest District is situated in the direct neighbourhood of the Legnica-Głogów Copper Centre (LGCC) which, for a number of years, exerted a substantial and negative impact on the condition of the neighbouring forest stands. According to the forest management plan from 1994, forests belonging to the Lubin Forest District were situated in the mild (I), moderate (II) and strong (III) damage zones and, locally, in
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some places, the zone of industrial scrub (IV) also occurred. The condition of forests of the examined forest district was affected by the emissions of the local copper processing plant as well as the transgenic contamination from Western Europe.

In years 2002-2004, the scientific staff and students doing their final papers at the Department of Forest Management of the Poznań Agricultural University carried out investigations in the Lubin Forest District (Wrocław Regional Directorate of State Forests – RDSF) connected with monitoring of the crown condition of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) trees. Data collected in 2002 were used to characterize the crown conditions of pine trees in the Legnica-Głogów Copper Centre [Jaszczak and Gołojuch 2002].

The performed studies allowed to determine defoliation as well as three tree crown traits which were essential for the so called complex evaluation which constituted the basis for the determination of the range of the damage zones in the forests which were affected by the industrial air emissions [Dmyterko 1992, 1993, 1994, 1996, Instrukcja... 1994]. It was an original solution applied in Poland which was characterized by the evaluation of standing and not felled sample trees. This method was universally applied in this forest district in the course of forest management work [Jaszczak 1996] as well as in a number of experiments investigating the condition of uneven-aged monocultures in the Experimental Forest District in Siemianice [Jaszczak and Malys 1998], correlations between the biosocial position of trees and the level of their damage indices [Jaszczak 2000 a, b, c] or the relationship of damage indices and the identification of definite number of forest damage zones [Jaszczak 2005 c].

The observations conducted in successive two years were treated as controls – the obtained results, on the one hand, were to give answer to the question if, in the discussed period, the state of tree crowns was stable or if it underwent significant alterations in consecutive years and, on the other, if there was a statistically significant difference between mean defoliations and complex assessments of stands of the 3rd and 4th age class. The second of the two problems had already been discussed by Jaszczak [2005 a] for a larger group of forest districts, but the results reported by him indicated that there was a need for further investigations on possibilities and usefulness of utilization for forest monitoring of averaged results from pairs of uneven-aged surfaces established close to one another. Therefore, this study provides another opinion in this discussion.

OBJECT AND RESEARCH METHOD

From the point of view of the natural-forest division, the Lubin Forest District is situated in the 5th Silesian Region, in the District of the Lower Silesia Plain, Mezoregion of the Dalkowskie Hills. A small part of the Forest District is situated within the boundaries of the 3rd Wielkopolski-Pomorski Region, in the Lubuskie Lake District, Mezoregion of the Głogów Marginal Stream Valley. From the point of view of the physico-geographical division, the Lubin Forest District is situated in the Sub-Province of the Central European Lowland, Silesian Lowland Macro-Region. Administratively, the District belongs to the Wrocław Regional Directorate of State Forests.

Observations were conducted in years 2002, 2003 and 2004 in pure pine stands 41 to 80 years of age in which the total of 8 pairs of sample plots were established, one from each the 3rd and 4th age classes. In each case, the sample plot comprised 25 trees selected from the main stand. The centre of the sample plot was made stable using a small...
pole, while compass placed over it served to determine cardinal points. The tree which happened to grow closest to the centre was designated with number one and next six trees were selected from each of the cardinal directions (N, S, W and E) which were growing closest to the plot centre. A detailed description of the sample plot selection, the assessment of the loss of the assimilation apparatus as well as the criteria and the way of the complex tree crown evaluation based on the following three characteristics: the condition of the assimilation apparatus (trait A), height increment (trait B) and shoot vitality (trait C) is presented in a study elaborated by Jaszczak [2005 b] as well as in the Forest Management Instruction [Instrukcja... 1994].

The collected data were grouped separately for each sample plot and age classes and then basic descriptive statistics of the defoliation and complex assessment (minimum, maximum, mean variation, standard deviation, variability coefficient) were calculated. The author compared differences between mean defoliations and points of complex assessment with the assistance of the standard error of the difference of two means (for \( P = 0.95 \)). The performed analysis was carried out for each plot and the entire given age class in three experimental periods (years 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2002-2004) as well as pairs of surfaces and age classes in the consecutive three years of observation (2002, 2003 and 2004).

RESULTS

Defoliation

The basic defoliation descriptive characteristics on individual sample plots in the consecutive three years of observations are presented in Tables 1 (the 3rd age class) and 2 (the 4th age class). It is evident from them that in the case of the 3rd age class stands, the mean defoliation in 2002 ranged from 23.80% to 27.80% – on average 25.50%, in 2003 – from 21.40% to 25.00% – on average 23.62% and in 2004 – from 20.60% to 30.83% – on average 24.62%. In the case of the 4th age class, the mean defoliation in 2002 ranged from 24.20% to 30.20% on average 26.73%, in 2003 – from 23.40% to 27.08% – on average 25.20% and in 2004 – from 23.54% to 31.20% – on average 26.53%.

In the year 2002, the mean defoliation of two pairs of sample plots (numbers 1 and 2, and 5 and 6) was identical; in five cases it was lower and in one case (plots number 13 and 14) – higher for the sample plot of the 3rd class of age. In 2003, the mean defoliation was lower for all surfaces of the 3rd age class, whereas in 2004 only for one pair of surfaces (numbers 13 and 14) the mean defoliation was higher for the 3rd age class. The general comparison of the 3rd and 4th age classes revealed that the mean loss of the assimilation apparatus in each year was lower for the group of the younger stands; in 2002 – by 1.23%, in 2003 – by 1.58% and in 2004 – by 1.91%. Simultaneously, the mean defoliation in both age classes was the lowest in 2003 (23.62% in the 3rd age class and 25.20% in the 4th age class) and the highest – in 2002 (25.50% in the 3rd age class and 26.73% in the 4th age class).

In years 2002 and 2003, the defoliation variability for both age classes was similar, while in 2004 – it was slightly higher. The variability coefficient for the 3rd class of age...
Table 1. Basic descriptive characteristics of defoliation on individual sample plots III age class and in consecutive three years of observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plots numbers</th>
<th>Year of observations</th>
<th>Defoliation, %</th>
<th>Variance coefficient</th>
<th>Variability</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Defoliation, % – Defoliation, %</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powierzchnia numer</td>
<td>Rok obserwacji</td>
<td>minimum</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>minimum</td>
<td>maksimum</td>
<td>średnia</td>
<td>Wariacja</td>
<td>Odchylenie standardowe</td>
<td>Współczynnik zmienności</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22.80</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>19.83</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30.83</td>
<td>57.97</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>59.33</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rok obserwacji</strong></td>
<td><strong>IΙΙ klasy wieku</strong></td>
<td><strong>Defoliation, %</strong></td>
<td><strong>Variancy %</strong></td>
<td><strong>Standard deviation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Variance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Defoliation, % – Defoliation, %</strong></td>
<td><strong>Minimum</strong></td>
<td><strong>Maximum</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III age class</strong></td>
<td><strong>Powierzchni</strong></td>
<td><strong>Razem</strong></td>
<td><strong>minimum</strong></td>
<td><strong>maksimum</strong></td>
<td><strong>średnia</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>24.62</td>
<td>35.01</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Basic descriptive characteristics of defoliation on individual sample plots IV age class and in consecutive three years of observations

Tabela 2. Podstawowe charakterystyki opisowe defoliacji na kolejnych powierzchniach IV klasy wieku i ogółem w kolejnych latach obserwacji

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plots numbers</th>
<th>Year of observations</th>
<th>Defoliation, %</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Variability coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powierzchnia numer</td>
<td>Rok obserwacji</td>
<td>minimum</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>Wariancja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>18.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>12.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>18.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.60</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>22.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>20.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30.20</td>
<td>38.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>10.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>67.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>20.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>30.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.80</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28.54</td>
<td>33.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>14.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV age class</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>12.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV klasa wieku</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.53</td>
<td>32.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the consecutive years of investigations ranged from 9.6% to 16.9% on average (2002), from 9.7% to 20.8% – 15.2% on average (2003) and from 12.3% to 30.6% – on average 24.0% (2004). On the other hand, the variability coefficient for the 4th age class fluctuated in the successive years of the experiment as follows: from 8.3% to 20.5% – 14.4% on average (2002), from 5.6% to 19.2% – 14.1% on average (2003) and from 14.1% to 26.3% – on average 21.5% (2004).

Table 3 presents the comparison and assessment of differences between mean defoliations for individual sample plots and both age classes in the three years in which the observations were conducted which were obtained employing the standard error of the difference of two means (for \( P = 0.95 \)). It is clear from this Table that, during the first experimental period (years 2002-2003), the mean tree crown defoliation underwent significant changes on six (3rd age class) and five (4th age class) surfaces. During the second experimental period (years 2003-2004), the significance of differences concerned

### Table 3. Absolute differences of mean defoliations of tree crowns and its evaluation performed with the aid of standard error (\( P = 0.95 \)) for individual sample plots and age classes in consecutive years of experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Differences of Mean Defoliations Between Years, %</th>
<th>Total Age Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differences of Mean Defoliations of Tree Crowns and Its Evaluation Performed with the Aid of Standard Error (( P = 0.95 )) for Individual Sample Plots and Age Classes in Consecutive Years of Experiments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Powder from III Class of Age</td>
<td>Powder from IV Class of Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60&quot; **</td>
<td>0.60&quot; **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.20&quot; ***</td>
<td>1.20&quot; ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.80&quot; ***</td>
<td>0.80&quot; **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.40&quot; **</td>
<td>1.40&quot; **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.20&quot; ***</td>
<td>1.00&quot; **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.88&quot; ***</td>
<td>1.00&quot; **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No difference.  
**Difference statistically non-significant, \( P = 0.95 \).  
***Difference statistically significant, \( P = 0.95 \).  
Brak różnicy.  
Nieistotna statystycznie różnica, \( P = 0.95 \).  
Istotna statystycznie różnica, \( P = 0.95 \).
four surfaces in both 3rd and 4th age classes. In the third period of investigations (years 2002-2004), the significance of differences referred to five (3rd age class) and three (4th age class) sample plots. In the case of age classes, the significance of differences of the mean defoliation concerned all the three experimental periods as regards the younger surfaces, while in the case of older plots, it referred only to two of the consecutive periods (i.e. years 2002-2003 and 2003-2004). In the course of a longer period of time (years 2002-2004), the mean tree crown defoliation of the 4th age class stands did not undergo statistically significant differences.

In the case of individual surfaces, the greatest number of statistically significant differences of mean defoliations was observed comparing years 2002 and 2003 in which, respectively, the highest and the lowest mean loss of the assimilation apparatus was recorded for the 3rd and 4th age classes. Altogether, during the three experimental years, stands of the 3rd age class showed more statistically significant differences of the mean defoliation (62.5% of cases) than stands of the 4th class (50.0% of cases).

Table 4 presents the comparison and assessment of differences between mean defoliations for the individual pairs of surfaces and both age classes in the consecutive three years of experiments which were carried out with the assistance of the standard error of the difference of two means (for P = 0.95). It is evident from the Table that the statistical significance of differences of the mean defoliation in the first year of observations (2002) was confirmed for five out of eight pairs of experimental surfaces and, additionally, in the case of four pairs of surfaces (numbers: 3 and 4, 7 and 8, 9 and 10 as well as 11 and 12) – the mean surface defoliation of the 3rd age class was lower from the mean defoliation of surfaces of the 4th age class, while in one case the situation was reverse (plots number 13 and 14). In the second year of studies (2003), the significance of differences referred only to three pairs of surfaces (in each case, the mean defoliation of surfaces of the 3rd class was lower than the mean defoliation of the surfaces of the 4th age class), while in the third experimental year (2004), it concerned four pairs of surfaces and in the case of three pairs, the mean defoliation of surfaces of the 3rd age class was lower than the mean defoliation of surfaces of the 4th age class (surfaces number: 3 and 4, 9 and 10 as well as 15 and 16), while in one case, the situation was reverse (surfaces 13 and 14). At the same time, the mean of the tree crown defoliation of the 3rd age class was statistically significantly lower in each year of observations in comparison with the mean tree crown defoliation of the 4th age class.

When discussing research results from years 2002 and 2003 which were conducted in eighteen forest districts, Jaszczak [2005 a] concluded that the mean defoliation of Scots pine tree crowns was usually lower for the mean tree crown defoliation of the 4th age class but only in 26.6% of cases these differences were statistically significant. The results of this study showed that a similar situation occurred in the Lubin Forest District because, during three years of observations, in 20 out of 24 cases, the mean defoliation of tree crowns was lower for the 3rd age class, but only in 50.0% of these cases the differences was statistically significant. For individual pairs of surfaces, the greatest number (5 out of 8) of statistically significant differences of mean defoliations was found in 2002 in which the mean loss of the assimilation apparatus was the highest for both the 3rd and the 4th age classes, while the smallest number (3 out of 8) of statistically significant differences of mean defoliations was found in 2003 in which the mean tree crown opening up was found the lowest, both for the 3rd and 4th age classes.
Table 4. Absolute differences of mean defoliations of tree crowns and its evaluation performed with the aid of standard error (P = 0.95) for individual pairs of sample plots and age classes in consecutive years of experiments
Tabela 4. Bezwzględne różnice średnich defoliacji koron drzew i jej ocena za pomocą błędu standardowego (P = 0,95) dla poszczególnych par powierzchni i klas wieku w kolejnych okresach badawczych

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair of surfaces</th>
<th>Differences of mean defoliations in year, %</th>
<th>Różnica średniej defoliacji w roku, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 a 2</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>0.57**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 a 4</td>
<td>2.80***</td>
<td>2.08***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 a 6</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 a 8</td>
<td>1.40***</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 a 10</td>
<td>6.00***</td>
<td>5.60***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 a 12</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 a 14</td>
<td>1.60***</td>
<td>0.83**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 a 16</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>1.80***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III and IV age class</td>
<td>1.23***</td>
<td>1.58***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III a IV klasa wieku</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No difference.  
**Difference statistically non-significant, P = 0.95.  
***Difference statistically significant, P = 0.95.  
Brak różnicy.  
*Nieroznica statystycznie istotna, P = 0,95.  
**Istotna statystycznie różnica, P = 0.95.

Complex assessment

Basic descriptive characteristics of the complex evaluation on individual surfaces during the consecutive three years of observations are presented in Tables 5 (the 3rd age class) and 6 (the 4th age class). It is evident from them that the mean number of points in 2002, in the case of the 3rd age class stands, ranged from 3.24 pts. to 4.80 pts. – on average 4.14 pts., in 2003 from 3.52 pts. to 4.36 pts. – on average 3.95 pts, and in 2004 from 3.52 pts. to 4.79 pts. – on average 4.25 pts. In the case of the 4th age class, the mean number of points of the complex assessment in 2002 ranged from 4.08 pts. to 5.48 pts. – on average 4.64 pts., in 2003 from 4.00 pts. to 5.16 pts. – on average 4.48 pts. and in 2004 from 4.24 pts. to 5.52 pts. – on average 4.74 pts.

In the year 2002, the mean point number of the complex assessment of one pair of surfaces (number 5 and 6) was identical, in six cases – it was lower and in one case (surface numbers 13 and 14), it was higher for the surface of the 3rd age class. In 2003, the mean number of points was lower for seven surfaces of the 3rd age class and identical for one pair of surfaces (5 and 6). In 2004, the mean number of points was lower for seven surfaces and higher for one pair of surfaces (7 and 8) of the 3rd age class. Altogether, when comparing the 3rd and 4th age classes, it was found that the mean number
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plots numbers</th>
<th>Year of observations</th>
<th>Complex assessment, pts</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Variability coefficient</th>
<th>Total III age class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powierzchnia numer</td>
<td>Rok obserwacji</td>
<td>minimum</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>średnia</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total III age class</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Basic descriptive characteristics of complex assessment on individual sample plots IV age class and in consecutive three years of observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plots numbers</th>
<th>Year of observations</th>
<th>Complex assessment, pts</th>
<th>Variance Wariance</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Variability coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>minimum maximum</td>
<td>minimum maximum</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powierzchnia numer</td>
<td>Rok obserwacji</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4 5</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 7</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 8</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 7</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV age class</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2 7</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razem IV klasa wieku</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 8</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wersja polska:
Tabela 6. Podstawowe charakterystyki opisowe oceny kompleksowej na kolejnych powierzchniach IV klasy wieku i ogółem w trzech latach obserwacji

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Powierzchnia numer</th>
<th>Rok obserwacji</th>
<th>Complex assessment, pts</th>
<th>Variance Wariance</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Variability coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>minimum maximum</td>
<td>minimum maximum</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4 5</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 7</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4 7</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 8</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 7</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV age class</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2 7</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razem IV klasa wieku</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 8</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) crown condition was lower for the group of younger stands: in 2002 – by 0.50 pts, in 2003 – by 0.53 pts, and in 2004 – by 0.49 pts. At the same time, the lowest mean number of points for both age classes was recorded in 2003 (the 3rd age class – 3.95 pts., the 4th age class – 4.49 pts.), and the highest – in 2004 (the 3rd age class – 4.25 pts., the 4th age class – 4.74 pts.).

The variability of the complex assessment for both age classes was similar in all the three years of observations. The variability coefficient for the 3rd age class during the consecutive experimental years ranged from 16.6% to 28.9% – on average 24.4% (2002), from 16.0% to 26.4% – on average 20.2% (2003) and from 11.3% to 26.8% – on average 23.2% (2004). On the other hand, the variability coefficient for the 4th age class during the consecutive experimental years ranged from 15.6% to 25.7% – on average 20.8% (2002), from 11.0% to 24.8% – on average 20.3% (2003) and from 17.1% to 24.4% – on average 21.1% (2004).

Table 7 presents the comparison and assessment of differences between the mean number of points of the complex assessment for individual experimental surfaces and both age classes in three years of studies carried out using the standard error of the difference of two means (for P = 0.95). It is clear from the Table that, during the first period of research (years 2002-2003), the mean number of points of the tree complex assessment underwent significant changes on seven (the 3rd age class) and four (the 4th age class) surfaces. During the second experimental period (years 2003-2004), the significance of differences concerned five (the 3rd age class) and six (4th age class) surfaces. In the third year of studies (2002-2004), the significance of differences involved four surfaces of the 3rd and 4th age classes each. In the case of both age classes, the significance of differences of the mean number of points referred to all the three research periods with the value of the difference slightly higher for the 3rd age class in the case of each period.

In the case of individual surfaces, the greatest number of statistically significant differences of the mean number of points of the complex evaluation, in the case of the 3rd age class, referred to the period 2002-2003 (seven out of eight pairs of surfaces), whereas in the case of the 4th class of age – to the period of 2003-2004 (six out of eight pairs of surfaces). On the other hand, in the case of the comparisons of years 2002 with 2004, equal or the lowest number of statistically significant differences (four out of eight pairs of surfaces) is characteristic.

Table 8 shows the comparison and assessment of differences between the mean number of points of the complex assessment for individual pairs of surfaces and both age classes in the successive three years of investigations carried out with the assistance of the standard error of the difference of two means (for P = 0.95). It is evident from this Table that in each of the three years of studies the statistical significance of differences of the mean number of points was confirmed in the case of five out of eight number of surfaces (numbers: 3 and 4, 9 and 10 as well as 11 and 12) but only for three pairs of surfaces, this referred to each year of observations. In the case of each year of research, the mean number of points of the complex assessment of tree crowns of the 3rd age class was statistically significantly different from the mean number of points of the complex assessment of tree crowns of the 4th age class.

Jaszczak [2005 c] reported that the pine tree crown damage of the 3rd age class was usually smaller than the tree crown damage of the 4th age class but only in 40% the damage differences of the individual stand pairs were statistically significant. The results
Table 7. Absolute differences of mean complex assessment of tree crowns and its evaluation performed with the aid of standard error ($P = 0.95$) for individual sample plots and age classes in consecutive years of experiments.

Table 7. Bezwzględne różnice średnich ocen kompleksowych koron drzew i jej ocena za pomocą błędu standardowego ($P = 0.95$) dla poszczególnych powierzchni i klas wieku w kolejnych okresach badawczych.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Plots of the III age classes</th>
<th>Plots of the IV age classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Powierzchnie III klasy wieku</td>
<td>Powierzchnie IV klasy wieku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>differences of mean complex assessment between years, pts</td>
<td>differences of mean complex assessment between years, pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.80***</td>
<td>0.21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.76***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.56***</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.93***</td>
<td>1.04***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.28***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.17***</td>
<td>0.29***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No difference.
*Difference statistically non-significant, $P = 0.95$.
**Difference statistically significant, $P = 0.95$.
Brak różnicy.
***Istotna statystycznie różnica, $P = 0.95$.

of this study confirm the fact that young stands are characterised by smaller crown damages than old stands. In each of the three years of observations, significant differences involved 62.5% of stand pairs and in each of these cases the mean number of points of complex assessment was lower for the 3rd age class.

Jaszczak [2000 c, 2001, 2004] as well as Wójcik and Buczkowski [2002] postulated the determination of the forest damage zones more frequently than once every 10 years which was associated with suggested better recognition of the change dynamics taking place in the forest environment. The results presented in this study indicate that the condition of tree crowns, from the point of view of the complex evaluation, underwent statistically significant changes in short – one or two-year-periods, which corroborates the above-mentioned recommendation.
Table 8. Absolute differences of mean complex assessment of tree crowns and its evaluation performed with the aid of standard error (P = 0.95) for individual pairs of sample plots and age classes in consecutive years of experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair of surfaces</th>
<th>Differences of mean complex assessment in year, pts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 a 2</td>
<td>0.56***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 a 4</td>
<td>1.04***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 a 6</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 a 8</td>
<td>0.64***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 a 10</td>
<td>1.40***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 a 12</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 a 14</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 a 16</td>
<td>0.12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III and IV age class</td>
<td>0.50***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III a IV klasa wieku</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *No difference.
- **Difference statistically non-significant, P = 0.95.
- ***Difference statistically significant, P = 0.95.
- 'Brak różnicy.
- 'Nieistotna statystycznie różnica, P = 0.95.
- 'Istotna statystycznie różnica, P = 0.95.

CONCLUSIONS

In years 2002-2004, the condition of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) trees on individual experimental plots, as expressed by the mean defoliation and points of the complex assessment of their crowns was dynamic and underwent statistically significant changes.

The mean tree crown defoliation of younger stands in the three consecutive years of observations was lower than the mean tree crown defoliation of older stands; however, only in 50% of cases this difference was statistically significant.

The mean number of points of the complex assessment in the three consecutive years of observations was by 62.5% lower for the 3rd age class stands and in each of these cases, this difference was statistically significant.

In the course of management work, the assessment of the crown condition in pine stands should be carried out more frequently than every 10 years.

The research results obtained in the course of this study confirm the necessity of further investigations on possibilities and usefulness of utilization in forest monitoring of averaged results of uneven-aged pairs of surfaces established close to one another, for example one surface each in the 3rd and 4th age class stands instead of drawing conclusions about the state condition on the basis of the results of only one surface.
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